

Index

Page numbers in bold refer to pages where the entry is discussed in relative detail. A comprehensive index of cases can be found at the beginning of the book. The index below only contains entries to cases with well-known popular names (e.g. “*Van Gend & Loos*”).

A

abuse of a dominant position

EU, 231

Germany, 148, **227**

Japan, *see* private monopolization (Japan)

abuse of a superior bargaining position (Japan), 119, **134**

acção popular (Portugal), 178

access to the file of the competition authorities

Austria, 196

comparison, 199-200

EU, 191-199

France, 196

Germany, 196

Japan, 74-18

the Netherlands, 196

account of profits, 211

Act Against Restraints of Competition (Germany), 148, 152, 177, 180, 186, 188, 227

Act Against Unjustifiable Premiums and Misleading Representations (Japan), 65

action en cessation (Belgium), 202

actions for damages

EU, 161-200

follow-on, *see* follow-on actions

highest recoveries, 114 (note 127), 120 (note 22), 211 (note 27), **230-232** (figures 11.1 and 11.2)

Japan, 49-80

legal basis (EU), 161-166

legal basis (Japan), 49-60

stand-alone, *see* stand-alone actions

actio popularis, 96

activist lawyers

EU, 200

Japan, 80, 96, 125

activist plaintiffs

derivative actions, 80, 125

Japan, 42, 79-80, 95-96, **114-116**

- Act on Access to Information Held by Administrative Organs (Japan) *see* Information Disclosure Act (Japan)
- Act on Costs of Civil Procedure (Japan), 100 (note 60), 124 (note 45)
- Act on General Rules for Application of Laws (Japan), 172 (note 56)
- Act on Popular Actions (Portugal), 178 (note 90)
- Administrative Case Litigation Act (Japan), 30 (note 17), 93
- administrative control model (of competition law), 151, 154
- Administrative Information Disclosure Act (Japan), *see* Information Disclosure Act (Japan)
- administrative litigation (Japan), 30, 93
- air cargo cartel (EU), 179, 185, 186, 198 (note 214), 225, 232
- Albacom v. Telecom Italia* case (Italy), 230
- amakudari* (Japan), 114
- AMD v. Intel* case (Japan), 58, 138 (note 57), 142 (note 71), 171
- American rule on attorney fees, 69
- Amicus curiae
 - European Commission, **189-190**, 198
 - JFTC, 73, 191
 - national competition authorities, 190
- annulment proceedings against JFTC decisions (Japan), 51, 72
- Antimonopoly Act
 - Art. 2(5), at 28 (note 5), 134 (note 34), 280, *see also* private monopolization (Japan)
 - Art. 2(6), at 28 (note 4), 134 (note 33), *see also* unreasonable restraint of trade (Japan)
 - Art. 2(9), at 28 (note 6), 134, *see also* unfair trade practices (Japan)
 - Art. 3, at 28 (notes 4-5), 134 (notes 33-34)
 - Art. 7, at 51 (note 7)
 - Art. 7-2, at 51 (note 8), 126 (note 55), 246 (notes 88-89)
 - Art. 19, at 28 (note 6), 122, 134 (note 35), *see also* unfair trade practices (Japan)
 - Art. 24, at 81, 86 (note 21), 203
 - Art. 25, *see* Article 25 actions
 - Art. 26, at 51 (note 10), 53 (note 20)
 - Art. 28, at 47 (note 61)
 - Art. 29, at 47 (note 62)
 - Art. 39, at 76 (note 157)
 - Art. 45, at 126 (note 54)
 - Art. 49, at 51 (notes 7, 9, 11)
 - Art. 50, at 51 (notes 8, 9, 11)
 - Art. 66, at 51 (note 12), 52 (note 15)
 - Art. 70-15, at **74-75**, 76
 - Art. 77, at 51 (note 14)
 - Art. 80, at 72 (note 130)
 - Art. 83-2, at 88

- Art. 83-3, at 87, 130 (note 17)
- Art. 83-4, at 89
- Art. 83-5, at 89
- Art. 84, at 35, 54, **73**, 130, 138, 190
- Art. 85, at 54
- Art. 87, at 54
- drafting history of provisions on damages, 35-40
- antitrust law
 - definition, 28
 - EU, 28-29
 - Japan, 28, 134
- appeal
 - against Commission decisions, 188, 221
 - against JFTC decisions, 51
- applicable law
 - EU, 169-170
 - example (EU), 170-171
 - Japan, 172
- arbitral awards in violation of antitrust law, enforcement of, 121-123, 212-219
 - Belgium, 217
 - EU, 212-214
 - France, 215-216
 - Japan, 121-123
 - the Netherlands, 217
 - Switzerland, 213 (note 33)
- arbitration, *see* arbitral awards in violation of antitrust law, enforcement of
- Arbitration Act (Japan), 121
- Article 25 actions (Japan), 50-54
 - elements of, 50
 - comparison with tort actions, 57-58
 - procedural rules, 54
 - statute of limitations, 53
- Ashurst study (EU), **223**, 225 (notes 14, 17)
- Atsugi naval base bid-rigging* case (Japan), 56
- Attorney Act (Japan), 64 (note 86)
- attorney fees, recovery of
 - Belgium, 184
 - England, 184
 - EU, 183
 - Germany, 184
 - Japan, 70
- attorney fee schedule (Japan), 102-103
- audit request prior to residents' lawsuits (Japan), 97-100
- Ausforschungsbeweis* (Germany), 181

Australia (third party funding), 70, 185

Austria

access to the file of the competition authority, 196

origins of antitrust law in Europe, 151

Austrian Banks case (EU), 193

Aviation Authority case (Sweden), 211 (note 27), 230

B

bar exam (Japan), 80

Barroso, José Manuel, 158

basic agreement in case of bid-rigging (Japan), 56

Becker, Gary, 237 (note 54), 244, 251

Belgium

arbitral awards in violation of antitrust law, enforcement of, 217

attorney fees, recovery of, 184

collective actions, 181

contingency fees, 183

court costs, recovery of, 184

disclosure, 181

evidentiary value of decisions by competition authority, 188

interim relief, 204

passing-on defense, 176

standing of indirect purchasers, 176

Benetton case, *see Eco Swiss v. Benetton* case (EU)

Beseitigung (Germany), 203

bid-rigging, *see also* residents' lawsuits

basic agreement (Japan), 56

ceiling price (Japan), 57, 95, 105, 110, 114

complicity of government officials (Japan), 114

liquidated damages (EU, Germany), 166

liquidated damages (Japan), 59-60

criminal offense (Japan), 109 (note 101)

derivative actions, 124-125

disqualification to bid (Japan), 124, 247-248

EU, 284

number of private cases involving, 135 (figure 6.3)

proof, 56-57, 109-110

specific arrangement (Japan), 56

the Netherlands, 232, 284

Bill of Industrial Order (Japan), 36-37

binding effect

of decisions by competition authorities, 71-73, 187

bitumen cartel (EU), 225

bouwfraude (the Netherlands), 232, 284

- Bowie, Robert, 153
- breach of statutory duty (England), 164, 165 (note 17)
- brewers and pubs, contracts between, 207
- bridges bid-rigging case*, *see steel bridges bid-rigging case*
- BRT v. Sabam case* (EU), 154, 162 (note 3)
- Brussels I Regulation (EU), 166
- budget, 253
- of the JFTC, 47
 - of the EU, 159
- Bundesgerichtshof* (Germany), **152**, 177 (note 86), 181 (note 106)
- Bundeskartellamt* (Germany) **152**, 196-197, 284
- bunsho sōfu shokutaku* 文書送付囑託 (commission (request) to send documents (from the courts to the JFTC)), 77
- bunsho teishutsu meirei* 文書提出命令 (document disclosure order), 67, 77
- burden of proof, 71, 73, 175, 176, 177, 263
- bureaucracy, 44
- business secrets, disclosure of
- EU, 195
 - Japan, 75, 76, 88
- “but for” test, 262-263, *see also* causation

C

- candle wax cartel (EU), 225
- Cardiff Bus case* (England), 173
- car glass cartel (EU), 225
- Carpagnano, Michele, 224
- Cartel Damages Claims NV, **181**, 193, 232
- cartels
- air cargo cartel (EU), 179, 185, 186, 198 (note 214), 225, 232
 - animal feed phosphates cartel (EU), 225
 - beer cartel (EU), 225
 - bitumen cartel (EU), 225
 - candle wax cartel (EU), 225
 - car glass cartel (EU), 225
 - cathode ray tubes cartel (EU), 225
 - cement cartel (Germany), 181, 186, 232
 - elevator cartel, 30 (note 16), 186, 225
 - fiber-optic cables cartel (Japan), 124
 - flat glass cartel (EU), 225
 - football (replica) shirts cartel (UK), 180
 - gas insulated switchgear cartel (EU), 193, 225, 282
 - hydrogen peroxide cartel (EU), 181, 186, 232, 193
 - kerosene cartel (Japan), *see* oil cartel
 - LCD panels cartel (EU), 225

- marine hose cartel (EU), 225
- oil cartel (Japan), 61, 64, 72, 131, 141, **257-264**, 289
- overcharge, 247, 273
- sugar cartel (Spain), 230
- synthetic rubber cartel (EU), 225
- vitamins cartel (EU), 167 (note 27), 172-173, 176-177, 198 (note 214), 230
- CAT, *see* Competition Appeal Tribunal
- causation, 272-273, 274
 - before and after test, 262
 - burden of proof, 274
 - but for test, 262-263
 - Japan, 50, 55, 257, 263, 270
 - EU, 163, 256
- CDC, *see* Cartel Damages Claims NV
- CDC hydrogen peroxide* case (Germany, Finland), 193
- cease-and-desist orders (by the JFTC), 51
- cement cartel (Germany), 181, 186, 232
- chakushukin* 着手金 (initial retainer (of attorney)), 68
- checks and balances, 47
- chihō seido chōsa kai* 地方制度調査会 (Local Government System Research Council), 111
- citizen lawsuits (Japan), 93
- Citizens' Ombudsman (Japan), **95-96**, 283, 287, 288
- Civil Code (Belgium)
 - Art. 1376, at 210 (note 23)
 - Art. 1382, at 165 (note 14), 176
- Civil Code (France),
 - Art. 1376, at 210 (note 23)
 - Art. 1382, at 176
- Civil Code (Germany)
 - § 398, at 181
 - §§ 812-822, at 210 (note 23)
- Civil Code (Italy)
 - Art. 1338, at 165 (note 18), 187 (note 141)
- Civil Code (Japan)
 - Art. 90, at 117, *see also* voidness (Japan)
 - Art. 404, at 61 (note 63), 71 (note 127), 174 (note 70), *see also* pre-judgment interest, Japan
 - Art. 419, at 268 (note 61)
 - Art. 420, at 59
 - Art. 703, at 120, *see also* unjust enrichment
 - Art. 704, at 120
 - Art. 709, at 55
 - Art. 724, at 54 (note 23)

- Civil Execution Act (Japan), 278
- civil liability insurance cartel (Italy), 225
- Civil Provisional Remedies Act (Japan), 81
- Claims Funding International plc, 185
- class actions, *see* collective actions
- Coffee, John, 285, 287
- cohabitation* (France), 159
- collective actions
 - Belgium, 181
 - Denmark, 178
 - England, 179-189
 - EU, 177-181
 - Germany, 180-181
 - Japan, **62-65**, 256-264
 - the Netherlands, 178
 - opt-in versus opt-out, 274-276
 - Portugal, 178
 - U.S., 62-63
- collective redress, *see* collective actions
- Combe, Emmanuel, 249
- Commission decisions, binding effect of, 187
- Companies Act (Japan), 123 (note 43)
- compensation, *see also* damages
 - as a goal of private antitrust litigation, 238
- Competition Act (UK), 179, 188 (note 152), 281 (notes 108-110)
- Competition Appeal Tribunal (UK), 280
- competition law (distinction with antitrust law), 28-29
- complaints
 - EU, 220-221
 - Japan, 126
- conditional fees, 67, 182, 183
- confidentiality of documents in the competition authority's file, 74-79, 191-200, *see also* access to file
- confidentiality ring, 89, 195 (note 195)
- confidentiality order, 89, 195 (note 195)
- conflict-of-laws, *see also* private international law
 - EU, 169-171
 - Japan, 171-172
- Congress (U.S.), 45-46, 158
- Connor, John M., 247 (note 93)
- consent decisions (Japan), **52**, 72
- consent decrees (U.S.), 73
- constitution, 152
 - economic, 152

- residents' lawsuits involving the, 93
- constitutional model (link with private enforcement)
 - EU, 159-160
 - Japan, 46-47
 - U.S., 45-46
- construction industry
 - Japan, 104, 109, 284
 - the Netherlands, 232, **284**
- Consumer Contract Act (Japan), 65
- consumers
 - associations, 65, 178, 180, 259, 260, 277, 288
 - damages recovered, 141 (figure 6.5), 232
 - protection, 45, 65, 158, 201
- contingency fees
 - Belgium, 183
 - definition, 67
 - England, 183
 - EU, 182-183
 - Germany, 183
 - Japan, 68
- contractual damages
 - EU, 165-166
 - Germany, 166
 - Japan, 59-60
- corporate statements, 75, 190, 191, 195-198
- cosmetics* cases (Japan), 83-85
- cost-benefit balance, 266
- costs, *see also* court costs
 - of collective actions, 241
 - of indirect purchaser lawsuits, 267-269 (figures 13.2, 13.3)
- Courage v. Crehan* case (EU), 148, 149, 155, **162-163**, 175, 207, 208, 209
- Court Act (Japan), 96 (note 37)
- court costs, recovery of
 - Belgium, 184
 - England, 184
 - EU, 183
 - Germany, 184
 - Japan, 69-70
- Court of Justice (EU), 26, **154**
- criminal code (Japan)
 - Art. 96-3, 109 (note 101), 139 (note 58)
- criminal proceedings, 74, 104, 139, 259
- criminal sanctions, 139, 247
- cy pres* distributions, 275

Cyprus (punitive damages), 173
 Czech Republic (pre-judgment interest), 187

D

daii soshō 代位訴訟 (action in subrogation; litigation on behalf of), 94, 112
 damages

- amount, 71, 186-187, 263
- awarded, highest (EU), 230 (figure 11.1)
- awarded, highest (Japan), 231 (figure 11.2)
- calculation, 71, 186-187
- contractual, 59-60, 165-166
- estimation, 71, 186
- exemplary, *see* punitive damages
- interest, *see* interest
- liquidated, 59-60, 166
- punitive, 60-61, 172-174
- treble, 37-39, 60

damnum emergens (EU), 186

Danish Post case (Denmark), 230

decentralization (of antitrust law enforcement, EU), 155-158

decisions by the JFTC

- consent decisions, 52
- formal decisions, 52
- recommendation decisions, 52

de facto presumption, 72

Denmark, 230

- collective actions, 178
- passing-on defense, 177

derivative actions

- Companies Act (Japan), 123
- EU, 219
- Local Autonomy Act (Japan), 94

derivative lawsuits, *see* derivative actions

detection (of antitrust violations)

- by private antitrust litigation (EU), **228-229**
- by private antitrust litigation (Japan), **136-137**, 142
- rate, 244, 246, 249 (note 103)

deterrence (of antitrust violations), 136-137, 140, 142, 228-229, 242

- as a goal of private antitrust litigation, 238-240
- optimal level, 242
- specific deterrence, 140
- under-deterrence (Japan), 246
- under-deterrence (EU), 248

Diet (Japan), 46, 47

direct effect (of the EEC treaty), 154, 162

directors' liability

- EU, 123-125
- Japan, 219-220

direct purchasers, 61 (note 64), 174, 182, 200, **265**, 276

disclosure, 65-66, 181, *see also* discovery

- inter partes* (of documents from the competition authority' file), 197

discovery

- Belgium, 181
- England, 181
- EU, 181-182
- Germany, 181
- Japan, 67, 88

distributors, 59, 207, 208, 265

divided Diet (Japan), 46

document production order (Japan), 67, 77

dōi shinketsu 同意審決 (consent decision), 52

dominant position, abuse of, *see* abuse of a dominant position

double jeopardy, 172

Düsseldorf Higher Regional Court, 152

Dutch construction industry, *see* construction industry (the Netherlands)

E

Ebisu Shokuhin Kigyō Kumiai case (Japan), 54, 126

Eco-emballages case (France), 196 (note 199)

economic analysis of private enforcement, 136-140, 142, 237 (note 54), 242-254

economies

- plan-rational (vs. market-rational), 43

Eco Swiss v. Benetton case (EU), 210, **212-214**, 219

Edwards mission, 37

Edwin and Lee Jeans case (Japan), 82

effectiveness, principle of, **149-150**, 163, 176, 201

Ehime shrine donation case (Japan), 93

einstweilige Verfügung (Germany), 204

elevator cartel (EU), 30 (note 16), 186, 225

empirical data

- on costs, 267, 269
- on private enforcement in Japan, 127-144
- on private enforcement in the EU, 223-233
- prior studies on the EU, 223-224

EnBW Energie Baden-Württemberg AG v. Commission (EU), 193, 194

England and Wales, *see also* United Kingdom

- collective actions, 179-189
- contingency fees, 183

- court costs, recovery of, 184
 - discovery, 181
 - empirical data, 223-224
 - exemplary damages, 172-173
 - follow-on actions, 280-283
 - funding of litigation, 185
 - interim relief, 205
 - legal basis for damages actions, 164
 - statute of limitations, 281
- Enterprise Act (UK), 180 (note 100), 188 (note 152), 280 (note 107), 281 (note 108)
- entrepreneurial litigation, 285-288
- equivalence, principle of, **149-150**, 201
- Erfolgshonorar* (Germany), 183
- European Union
 - antitrust law, 28-29
 - competition law, 28-29
- evidence, *see also* substantial evidence rule
 - access to, *see* discovery
 - of bid-rigging, 56-57, 109-110
 - sharing of evidence by the JFTC, 76-77
- evidentiary value of decisions by competition authorities
 - Belgium, 188
 - European Commission, 187-188
 - Germany, 188
 - JFTC, 71-73
 - national competition authorities, 188
 - UK, 188
 - U.S., 73
- ex aequo et bono* (estimation of damages), 71, 186-187 (note 142)
- exemplary damages, *see* punitive damages
- exequatur*, 212, 217
- ex officio* application of antitrust law, **210**, 214, 218
- extreme damage (Japan, injunctive relief), 87
- ex turpi causa non oritur actio*, 220

F

- face-to-face selling, 83
- fact pleading, 65-67
- failsafe function of private enforcement, 46, 237, 238 (note 55)
- fault
 - Japan, 55
 - EU, 163-165
- fees, *see* attorney fees, conditional fees, contingency fees, filing fees
- filing fees (Japan), 69, 100

finer

EU, 248

Japan, *see* surcharges

Finland, 193

First, Harry, 40, 44

fishing expedition (Germany, evidence), 181

flat glass cartel (EU), 225

follow-on actions, 109, 129, 225, **252, 280-283**

empirical data (EU), **228-229**

empirical data (Japan), **136-137**, 142

U.S., 41

football (replica) shirts cartel (UK), 180

formal decisions (by the JFTC), 52

France

access to the file of the competition authority, 196

arbitral awards in violation of antitrust law, enforcement of, 215-216

standing of indirect purchasers, 176

passing-on defense, 176-177

Francovich v. Italy case (EU), 155, 162

Freedom of Information Act (U.S.), 192

Freedom of Information Act (the Netherlands), 196 (note 200)

Fujiki v. Shiseidō Cosmetics case (Japan), 83

fukōseina torihiki hōhō 不公正な取引方法 (unfair trade practices), 28

number of cases involving, 135 (figure 6.3)

fumus boni iuris, 204

funding of litigation, *see also* third party funding

EU, 185-186

Japan, 70

futōna torihiki seigen 不当な取引制限 (unreasonable restraints of trade), 28

number of cases involving, 135 (figure 6.3)

futō ritoku 不当利得 (unjust enrichment), 120

futō ritoku henkan seikyū 不当利得返還請求 (action for restitution of unjust enrichment), 120

Antimonopoly Act

Local Autonomy Act

future of private antitrust litigation, 233

G

Garden Cottage Foods (England), 164, 204

gas insulated switchgear cartel (EU), 193, 225, 282

Gegenstandswert (Germany), 184

General Court (EU), 26, 193-194, 221

Gerber, David, 151

Gerichtskosten (Germany), 184

Germany

- access to the file of the competition authority, 196
- attorney fees, recovery of, 184
- collective actions, 180-181
- contingency fees, 183
- court costs, recovery of, 184
- discovery, 181
- empirical data, 224
- funding of litigation, 186
- interim relief, 204-205
- liquidated damages, 166
- ordoliberalism, 152
- origins of antitrust law in Europe, 151, 152-153
- passing-on defense, 177
- standing of indirect purchasers, 174, 177

Gesetz gegen Wettbewerbsbeschränkungen, see Act Against Restraints of Competition

Gifu Credit Cooperative case (Japan), 118-119

gijutsu mata ha shokugyō no himitsu 技術又は職業の秘密 (technical or professional secrets), disclosure of, 88

gimuzuke soshō 義務付け訴訟 (*mandamus* action, litigation to impose a duty), 112

Green Paper on antitrust damages actions, 157 (note 39)

Group Litigation Order (England), 179

GT Linien A/S case (Denmark), 177 (note 88), 230

guidance on quantifying harm (EU), 187

guidelines on sharing of evidence (JFTC), 76-77

H

haijo sochi meirei 排除措置命令 (cease-and-desist order), 51

Hausfeld LLP, 186, 200 (note 220)

himitsu hoji meirei 秘密保持命令 (protective order, confidentiality order), 89

history of private antitrust litigation

EU, 151-160

Japan, 35-48

hōkoku 報告 (complaint (to the JFTC)), 126

hōritsujō no suitei 法律上の推定 (legal presumption), 72

Hungary, 182 (note 113), 187

hydrogen peroxide cartel (EU), 181, 186, 232, 193

I

ichijirushii songai 著しい損害 (extreme damages (as a requirement for injunctive relief)), 87

ihan sengen shinketsu 違反宣言審決 see *ihō sengen shinketsu* 違法宣言審決

- ihōsei* 違法性 (unlawfulness, wrongful conduct), 55
- ihō sengen shinketsu* 違法宣言審決 (JFTC decision annulling JFTC order but affirming the existence of an antitrust violation), 52
- Illinois Brick* case (U.S.), 61, 174, 276
- Illinois Brick Repealer Statutes (U.S.), 61 (note 64)
- impact assessment accompanying White Paper on antitrust damages actions, 223, 256 (note 4)
- in camera* examination of documents (Japan), 89
- indemnité de procédure* (Belgium), 184
- indirect purchaser lawsuits, *see also* standing of indirect purchasers
- Japan, 61, **255-272**
 - EU, 174, **272-277**
 - merits, 264-266
 - problems, 266-272
 - U.S., 61
- industrial policy, 43
- inflation, 258, 263
- Information Disclosure Act (Japan), **78-79**, 199
- ingakankei* 因果関係 (causal relationship), 55
- inhabitant litigation, 93
- injunctions
- Belgium, 202-203, 204
 - Beseitigung* (Germany), 203
 - empirical data (EU), 226
 - empirical data (Japan), 132 (figure 6.2), 136
 - England, 202, 205
 - EU, 201, 277-278
 - Germany, 203, 204-205
 - Japan, 81-89, 277-280
 - mandatory (England), 202
 - permanent (Japan), 82, 86-88
 - prohibitory (England), 202
 - quia timet* injunctions (England), 202
 - scope of conduct (Japan), 86, 278
 - Unterlassung* (Germany), 203, 205 (note 22)
- Institut de droit de la concurrence*, 224
- Institute of Competition Law*, 224
- insurance (for legal expenses), 185
- intent, 55, 163-165
- interest, *see also* pre-judgment interest
- EU, **173-174, 187**
 - Japan, 61, **71**, 120
 - U.S., 71
- interested persons (Japan, access to file), 74

Interest Rate Restriction Act (Japan), 119
 interim injunctions, *see* interim relief
 interim relief
 Belgium, 204
 England, 205
 EU, 202, 204-205
 Germany, 204-205
 Japan, 81-85
 interlocutory injunctions, *see* interim relief
 international cases (jurisdiction and applicable law), 166-172
inter partes disclosure (of documents from the competition authority's file), 197
 Ireland, 173, 181, 185
 Issacharoff, Samuel, 286
 Italy, 165 (note 18), 176 (note 79), 186-187,
 empirical data, 224, 225, 229, 230 (note 41), 232
iyakujinjōkō 違約金条項 (contractual penalty clause), 59

J

Japan Fair Trade Commission
 criminal prosecutions, 104, 107, 139, 140 (note 60), 247, 257, 259
 decisions, *see* JFTC decisions
 guidelines on sharing of evidence, 76-77
 opinion on amount of damages, 73
 orders, *see* JFTC orders
 surcharges, 51, 139, **246-247**
jet fuel bid-rigging case (Japan), 120 (note 22), 231
 JFTC, *see* Japan Fair Trade Commission
 JFTC decisions
 consent decisions, **52**, 72
 formal decisions, 52
 recommendation decisions, 52
 JFTC orders
 cease-and-desist orders, 51
 surcharge orders, 51
jijitsuō no suitei 事実上の推定 (*de facto* presumption), 72
jiken kiroku 事件記録 (file (of the JFTC)), 74
 Johnson, Chalmers, 43
jōhōkōkaihō 情報公開法 (Information Disclosure Act) *see* Information Disclosure Act
juchūchōsei 受注調整 (specific arrangement (in bid-rigging cases)), 56
jūmin kansa seikyū 住民監査請求 (audit request by residents' (prior to residents' lawsuit)), 97
jūmin soshō 住民訴訟 (residents' lawsuits), 91, 93
 jurisdiction

Competition Appeal Tribunal (England), 179 (note 97), 280-281
 High Court (England), 179 (note 97), 281, 283 (note 119)
 in international cases (EU), 166-169
 in international cases (Japan), 171
 injunctive relief (Japan), 87

jury trial, 286

K

kabunushi daihyō soshō 株主代表訴訟 (derivative actions), 123
kachōkin 課徴金 (surcharges), 246
kachōkin genmen 課徴金減免 (leniency), 126
kachōkin nōfu meirei 課徴金納付命令 (surcharge orders), 51
kaisan seikyū 解散請求 (demand for dissolution (of the local assembly)), 92
kaishoku seikyū 解職請求 (demand for a recall), 92
kankoku 勧告 (recommendation (by the JFTC)), 52
kankoku shinketsu 勧告審決 (recommendation decision (by the JFTC)), 52
kansaiin 監査委員 (audit board (which assesses residents' audit request)), 97
kansa seikyū 監査請求 (audit request (before residents' lawsuit)), 97
kanseidangō 官製談合 (bid-rigging with complicity of government officials), 114
Kaō Cosmetics case (Japan), 84
 Kaplow, Louis, 239-240
 KapMuG (Germany), 180 (note 103)
kari no chii 仮の地位 (provisional status), 81
kari shobun meirei 仮処分命令 (provisional disposition), 81
kashitsu 過失 (negligence), 55
Kawachiya v. Shiseidō Cosmetics case (Japan), 84
kenri shingai 権利侵害 (infringement of rights, wrongful conduct), 55
 kerosene cartel (Japan), *see* oil cartel (Japan)
kihongōi 基本合意 (basic agreement (in bid-rigging cases)), 56
kikyaku 棄却 (dismissal (on the merits)), 50
 Kime draft, **37-39**, 60
 Kime, Posey T., 37
koi 故意 (intent), 55
kōjoryōzoku 公序良俗 (public policy and good morals), 117
 Komninos, Assimakis, 238 (note 57)
 Kozuka, Sōichirō, 91 (note 2), 115
kyakka 却下 (dismissal (without judging the merits)), 50
kyōdō soshō 共同訴訟 (joint litigation), 63
kyūiken seido 求意見制度 (request system (for JFTC's opinion on damages)), 73

L

Landes, W.M., 251
 law and economics, *see* economic analysis of private enforcement

- law firms, 79-80, 200
- lawyers
 - activist, 80, 96, 125, 288
 - per capita, 68, 80, 143
- legal aid, 261
- legal expenses insurance, 185
- legislative history
 - damages (Japan), 35-39
 - EEC Treaty, 153
 - injunctive (Japan), 85-86
- legislature, 45-48, 158-159
- legitimacy
 - of the EU, 159
- Lei de acção popular*, see Act on Popular Actions (Portugal)
- leniency, see also leniency material
 - EU, 192-200
 - Japan, 75, 76, 78, 126
- leniency material, 75, 76, 78, **191**, 192-200
- lex fori* principle, 169
- limitation period, see statute of limitations
- Linde v. Halvourgiki*, 216, 218
- liquidated damages
 - Japan, 59-60
 - EU, 166
- litigation costs, see costs, court costs
- litigation financing, see third party funding
- local autonomy, 91-92
- Local Autonomy Act (Japan) , 91
- local governments, 30, **91-92**, 96
- “loser pays” rule, 69-70, 183
- loss
 - actual loss, 186
 - deadweight loss, 244, 247, 248
 - of profit, 186
- lucrum cessans* (EU), 186

M

- MacArthur, General, 35
- Ma Liste de Courses* case (France), 196
- Manfredi v. Lloyd Adriatico Assicurazione* case (EU), 148, 149, 155, **162-163**, 164, 172, 175, 186, 207, 210, 276
- Marketing Displays International* case (the Netherlands), 217
- Market Practices and Consumer Protection Act (Belgium), 202 (notes 6 and 8)
- Marktpraktijken, Wet betreffende* (Belgium), 202 (notes 6 and 8)

Marshall II case (EU), 187
Masterfoods case (EU), 188, 209
Matsushita television resale price maintenance case (Japan), 61, 72, 141
Max Factor Cosmetics case (Japan), 85
meirei 命令 (order (by the JFTC)), 51
 merger control, 28 (note 7), 29, 193
 mergers, 28 (note 7), 29, 193
mesures provisoires (Belgium), 202, 204
 Mikiya, Osamu, 80
 Miller, Geoffrey P., 286
Minamata litigation, 115
 Ministry of International Trade and Industry (MITI) (Japan), 47, 85, 259, 262, 271
 Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism (Japan), 59
minshū soshō 民衆訴訟 (citizen actions), 93
 misleading representations, 65
 MITI, *see* Ministry of International Trade and Industry
 Monnier, Constance, 249
 monopolization, *see* private monopolization
Mors v. Labinal case (France), 230
mōshitate tesūryō 申立手数料 (filing fees), 69, 100
 Motta, Massimo, 248-249
mukashitsu sekinin 無過失責任 (strict liability), 50
mukō 無効 (void), 117
 Mulheron, Rachael, 274
 multiple defendants, 167, 168, 170
 municipalities, 30, 92, 96, 166
Musterverfahren (Germany), 180

N

Nagoya subway construction bid-rigging case (Japan), 125 (note 51)
 Nakamura, Kishirō, 105
National Grid case (England), 190, 198, 198-199 (note 217), 282
ne bis in idem, 172
 negative value claims, 62
 negligence
 Japan, 50, 55, 92, 97
 EU, 163-165
nejire kokkai ねじれ国会 (divided Diet), 46
 Netherlands, the
 access to the file of the competition authorities, 196
 bid-rigging in the construction industry, 232, 284
 collective actions, 178
 funding of litigation, 185-186
 Nishimura & Asahi, 79 (note 178)

Nishimura, Nobufumi, 40
 “no cure, no pay”, 67, 182
 notice pleading, 65, 67
 “no win, no fee”, *see* “no cure, no pay”
 null and void, *see* nullity
 nullity
 EU, 207
 Japan, *see* voidness

O

objective action, *see actio popularis*
 occupation authorities, American, 35, 37, 40-41, 92
 oil cartel (Japan), 61, 64, 72, 131, 141, **257-264**, 289
 oil crisis (Japan), 258
 oil shock (Japan), 258
 Ōkawa, Takashi, 80
okotaru jijitsu 怠る事実 (negligence (challenged in residents’ lawsuits)), 92, 97
 ombudsman
 Citizens’ Ombudsman (Japan), **95-96**, 283, 287, 288
 Denmark, 178
 one-way fee shifting, 69, 70, 101
 OPEC, 258, 262, 271
 opportunity costs (of litigation), 241, 253, 266, 268
 optimal deterrence, 242
 optimal sanction, 242, **243**
 opt-in collective action, 63, 178, 256, 272-274, 276, 286
 opt-out collective action, 62-64, 177, **178**, 181, 274, **275-276**
 ordoliberalism, 152
 origins of private antitrust litigation, *see* history of private antitrust litigation
 overcharge, average
 EU, 273
 Japan, 71, **247**
 overconfidence bias, 245, 249, 250

P

pactum de quota litis, 67, *see also* contingency fees
 Pareto conflict theorem, 239 (note 59)
 parliamentary system, 46, 159
 passing-on defense
 Belgium, 176
 Denmark, 177
 EU, 274
 France, 176-177

- Germany, 177
- Japan, 61-62
- patents (invalidity of duty to pay royalties after expiry), 122
- penalty clause (Japan), 59-60
- permanent injunctions, 82, 202, 205
- Peyer, Sebastian, 224
- Pfleiderer* case (EU), 196-197
- plaintiffs, type of (Japan), 141 (figure 6.5)
- plaintiffs' bar
 - EU, 200
 - Japan, 79-80
- plaintiffs' lawyers, *see* plaintiffs' bar
- pleading standards
 -
 - Japan, 67
 - U.S., 65-66
- Poland, 187
- Portugal
 - acção popular*, 178
 - collective actions, 178
- Posner, Richard, 239, 251
- predatory pricing, 69, 88, 173, **279-280**, *see also* pricing at unjustly low prices
- pre-existing documents (leniency), 191, 195, 197
- Prefectures, 91, 96
- pre-judgment interest
 - EU, 173-174, 187
 - Japan, 60-61
- preliminary injunctions, *see* interim relief
- presumption (based on decision by competition authorities)
 - Belgium, 188
 - EU, 187-189
 - Germany, 188
 - Japan, 72
 - UK, 188
- pricing
 - at unjustly low prices, 134, 279
 - predatory, 69, 88, 173, **279-280**
- principle of effectiveness, *see* effectiveness, principle of
- principle of equivalence, *see* equivalence, principle of
- private attorney general
 - Japan, 114-116
 - U.S., 45, 285
- private enforcement of antitrust law
 - arguments against, 238

- arguments in favor, 237
- meaning, 29
- private enforcement regimes, U.S. scholarship regarding, 45, 158
- private international law
 - EU, 166-171
 - Japan, 171-172
- private monopolization (Japan), 28, 86, 134, 247, 278, 280
 - number of cases involving, 135 (figure 6.3)
- procedural autonomy, principle (EU), 150
- procedural law, 147
- professional secrets, 88, *see also* business secrets, disclosure of
- protective order, 89
- provisional injunctions, *see* interim relief
- public interest litigation, 287
- public policy (and antitrust law)
 - Japan, 117-118
 - EU, 210, 212-213
 - the Netherlands, 213
- punitive damages
 - Cyprus, 173
 - England, 172
 - EU, 172-174
 - Ireland, 173
 - Japan, 60-61
- pyramid scheme, 131, 138 (note 54)

Q

- quia timet* injunctions, 202

R

- RCA cartel (Italy), *see* civil liability insurance cartel (Italy)
- recall (of mayor, prefectural governor, etc.) (Japan), 92
- rechtsplegingsvergoeding* (Belgium), 184
- recommendation decisions (by the JFTC), 52
- Regulation 17/62 (EU), 153, 154-155
- Regulation 44/2001 (EU), *see* Brussels I Regulation
- Regulation 1049/2001, *see* Transparency Regulation
- Regulation 1/2003 (EU), 156
- Regulation 864/2007, *see* Rome II Regulation
- Regulation 593/2008 (EU), *see* Rome I Regulation
- regulatory culture (Japan), 44
- remedies (EU), 147-149, 207
 - Japan

- repeat offenders, 246, 248
- resale price maintenance, *see* vertical price-fixing
- residents' lawsuits (Japan), 91-116
 - amendment, 111
 - attorney fees, 101
 - case law, 104-111
 - filing fee, 100
 - impact on deterrence, 140
 - procedure, 99 (figure 4.1)
 - significance, 114-116
 - time limits, 99 (figure 4.1)
 - transplanting to the EU, 284-285
- res judicata*, 62, 189, 214
- restitutio ad integrum*, 172
- restitution, 120, 210
- restitutionary damages (England), 211 (note 24)
- restraints of trade, *see* unreasonable restraints of trade (Japan)
- review of arbitral awards, *see* arbitral awards in violation of antitrust law, enforcement of
- rigaikankeinin* 利害関係人 (interested persons (with respect to access to the file)), 74
- right to damages (based on the Treaty), 162-163
- rikōru* リコール (recall (of mayor, prefectural governor, etc.)), 92
- rinienshī* リニエーション (leniency), 126
- Rodger, Barry J., 223
- Rome I Regulation (EU), 169
- Rome II Regulation (EU), 170
- Rule 23 (Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), 41, 62 (note 73), 266 (note 52)

S

- Safeway Stores v. Twigger* case (England), 220
- Saitama Saturday Club* case (Japan), 77, **104**
- Salwin, Lester, 38
- sanctions, optimal level of, 242, 243
- sangyō chitsujo hōan* 産業秩序法案 (Bill of Industrial Order), 36
- sangyō seisaku* 産業政策 (industrial policy), 43
- SAS case (Sweden), *see* Aviation Authority case (Sweden)
- sashitome seikyū* 差止請求, 81, 94
- Schutzgesetzverletzung* (Germany), 177
- Schutznormtheorie* (Germany), 177
- Seal bid-rigging* case (Japan), 117, 120, 129
- seikō hōshūkin* 成功報酬金 (success fee), 68
- seishiki shinketsu* 正式審決 (formal decision (of the JFTC, prior to 1993)), 52
- Sensui, Fumio, 40

- senteitōjisha* 選定当事者 (representative parties (in litigation)), 63
- separation of powers
 EU, 158-160
 Japan, 45-48
- separation of State and religion, 93
- settlements, 125, 130, 138, 178, 203, 225, **230-231**, 232, 261, 276, 284 (note 127), 286
- shareholders, 80, 123-125, 219
- Shavell, Steven, 239-240
- shinketsu* 審決 ((JFTC) decision), 51
- shinketsushū*, 129
- shinketsu torikeshi soshō* 審決取消訴訟 (annulment proceedings (against a JFTC decision)), 51
- shinketsu zenchi shugi* 審決前置主義 (the principle that a damages action on the basis of the Antimonopoly Act requires a prior JFTC decision)
 historical background, 39, 41
 current system, 50-51
- shinkoku* 申告 (complaint (with the JFTC)), 126
- shinpan* 審判 (hearing (before the JFTC)), 51
- shinpankan* 審判官 (hearing examiner (in the administrative proceedings before the JFTC)), 51
- shinpan shinketsu* 審判審決 (formal decision (of the JFTC)), 52
- shinpan tetsuzuki* 審判手続 (administrative proceedings (before the JFTC)), 51
- shiteki dokusen* 私的独占 (private monopolization), 28
 number of cases involving, figure 6.3
- shokugyō no himitsu* 職業の秘密 (professional secrets), 88
- SNF v. Cytec* (Belgium, France), 215-216, 217
- songai baishō gaku no yotei jōkō* 損害賠償額の予定条項 (liquidated damages clause), 59
- songaibaishō seikyū* 損害賠償請求 (damages claim)
 Antimonopoly Act, 50
 Local Autonomy Act, 94
- soshōhiyō* 訴訟費用 (court costs), 69
- Spain, 226 (note 21), 230
- Spanish sugar cartel case*, *see sugar cartel case*
- specific arrangement (bid-rigging), 56
- speed of proceedings, 270, 278
- stakingsvordering* (Belgium), *see vordering tot staking* (Belgium)
- stand-alone actions, 109, 129, 225, **252**, 254, 283
 empirical data (EU), **228-229**
 empirical data (Japan), **136-137**, 142
- standing
 Belgium, 176
 EU, 174

- France, 176
- Germany, 177
- Japan, 61
 - of indirect purchasers, 61, 174
 - residents' lawsuits, 96
 - U.S., 61
- State aid (EU), 29
- statement of objections, 192, 193 (note 187), 196, 198
- statute of limitations
 - Article 25 actions (Japan), 53
 - England, 281
 - EU, 149
 - tort actions (Japan), 53-54 (note 23)
- steel bridges bid-rigging* case (Japan), 59 (note 51), 80, 125 (notes 50 and 53)
- Stigler, George, 251
- strict liability
 - EU, 164
 - Japan, 50
- Structural Impediments Initiative, **76**, 119 (note 11),
- subrogation, 112
- substantial evidence rule (Japan), 72
- success fee, 68, 183
- success rate (of antitrust litigation)
 - EU, 228
 - Japan, 136
- sugar cartel (Spain), 230 (note 40)
- superior bargaining position, abuse of, *see* abuse of a superior bargaining position
- surcharges (Japan), 51, 139, **246-247**
- surcharge order, 51
- Sweden, 151, 187, 211, 230-231
- Switzerland, 213
- synthetic rubber cartel (EU), 225

T

- Tagonoura sludge dumping* case (Japan), 95
- taimenhanbai* 対面販売 (face-to-face selling), 83
- taxpayer lawsuits, 93
- tetsuzukiteki kōjo* 手続的公序 (procedural public policy), 123
- Thalès v. Euromissile*, 215, 218
- third party funding (EU), 185, 233, 288
- time-bar, *see* statute of limitations
- Tokyo High Court
 - jurisdiction, 54
- Tokyo oil cartel* case (Japan), 61, 64, 72, 131, 138, 141, 257-264

- Tokyo waste incineration bid-rigging case* (Japan), 110, 114, 138 (note 56), 231
 (Table 11.2)
- torpedo actions, 282
- tort actions
- elements of (EU), 163
 - elements of (Japan), 55
 - fault, 163-165
 - Japan, 54-57
 - statute of limitations, 53-54 (note 23)
- Tōshiba Elevator case* (Japan), 131, 136
- trade associations, 86 (note 21), 180, 277, 288
- transaction costs (of litigation), 241, 253, 266, 275, 287 (note 135)
- Transparency Regulation (EU), 192
- Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, 26, 28
- Article 101(2), at 148, 154, 207-208, *see also* nullity (EU)
 - Article 101(3), at 154, 155, **156**
 - Article 102, at 148, 153, 154, 208, 227, *see also* abuse of a dominant position
 - right to damages, 162
- treble damages, 41, 173-174
- in Kime draft, 37-39, 41
 - U.S., 60
- Trust Act (Japan), 64 (note 64)
- Tsu Jichinsai case* (Japan), 93
- Tsuruoka oil cartel case* (Japan), 54-55, 61, 64, 72, 131, 141, 257-264
- Turkey, 60 (note 57), 174

U

- UK, *see* United Kingdom
- unfair competition, 36, 82, 170
- Unfair Competition Prevention Act (Japan), 82
- unfair trade practices (Japan), 28, **134**, 279
- number of cases involving, 135 (figure 6.3)
- United Kingdom, 43, 280
- empirical data, 143, **223-224**, 226, 228, 229, 231
 - evidentiary value of decisions by competition authority, 188
- United States
- as plaintiff in litigation in Japan, 56, 77, 138, 141, 231 (table 11.2)
 - attorney fees, recovery of, 69
 - class actions, 62-63
 - contingency fees, 68
 - court costs, recovery of, 69
 - discovery, 65-67
 - indirect purchasers, 61
 - level of private enforcement, 143

pleading standards, 65
 treble damages, 60
 unjust enrichment
 EU, 210-211
 Japan, 120
 unreasonable restraints of trade (Japan), 28, **134**
 number of cases involving, 135 (figure 6.3)
Unterlassung (Germany), 203, 205 (note 22)
 Upham, Frank, 115, 116
 U.S., *see* United States
Usen v. Can case (Japan), 69, 141, 142

V

Van Gend & Loos case (EU), 154
 van Gerven, Walter, 201 (note 5)
Verbandsklage (Germany), 180 (note 105)
Verfügung, einstweilige (Germany), 204
 vertical price-fixing, 59, 61, 72, 82, **83-84**, 134
 vertical restraints, *see also* vertical price-fixing
 reluctance to sue (Japan), 265
 nullity (EU), 207
 number of cases, 227
 vitamins cartel (EU), 167 (note 27), 172-173, 176-177, 198 (note 214), 230
 void, *see* voidness (Japan)
 voidness (Japan), 117-120
voorlopige maatregelen (Belgium), 202, 204
vordering tot staking (Belgium), 202
Vorteilsabschöpfung (Germany), 180
Vorteilsausgleichung (Germany), 177

W

waste incineration plants, bid-rigging for the construction of, **110** (table 4.1), 133, 138, **140**, 231 (table 11.2)
 welfare economics, 239
 Which? (consumer association), 180
 White Paper on antitrust damages actions, 157
 Wils, Wouter, 248, 251
Wirtschaftsverfassung (Germany), 152

Y

Yamato v. Japan Post case (Japan), 136, 279-280
Yokohama waste incineration bid-rigging case (Japan), 103, 138 (note 56), 231 (table 11.2), 263

Yokosuka bid-rigging case (Japan), 77, 138, 231 (table 11.2)

Yokota bid-rigging case (Japan), 231 (table 11.2)

Z

zaibatsu 財閥, 36-37

zaimu kaikeijō no kōi 財務会計上の行為 (financial or accounting acts (targeted by residents' lawsuits)), 96